United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

)

Eastern District of North Carolina

	v.)	Coso No	2:16-CR-14-1BR	
	MAGDALENO VAZQUEZ-RUIZ	Case No.	2.10 GK 11 1BK	
	Defendant)			
	DETENTION ORDER	R PENDING T	ΓRIAL	
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail R hat the defendant be detained pending trial.	deform Act, 18 l	U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts	
	Part I—Findi	ings of Fact		
□ (1) T	The defendant is charged with an offense described in	18 U.S.C. § 31	142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted	
of \Box a federal offense \Box a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal				
	jurisdiction had existed - that is			
	☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 for which the prison term is 10 years or more.	3156(a)(4)or an	n offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)	
☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.				
	☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term o	of ten years or n	more is prescribed in	
			.*	
	a felony committed after the defendant had bee described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or		•	
	☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence but in	nvolves:		
	☐ a minor victim			
	☐ the possession or use of a firearm or destru	active device or	r any other dangerous weapon	
	□ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	0		
□ (2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.			
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed since th	ne 🗆 date of	of conviction	
	from prison for the offense described in finding (1).			
□ (4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.			
	Alternative F	indings (A)		
□ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defenda	nt has committe	ted an offense	
	\Box for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in .			
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption esta the defendant's appearance and the safety of the cor	blished by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure nmunity.		
	Alternative Fi	ndings (B)		
1 (1)	(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.			
Y (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endan	ger the safety of another person or the community.		
	Part II— Statement of the I find that the testimony and information submitted at			
	·	·		
В		at hearing, there is no condition or combination of conditions, that can opearance and/or the safety of another person or the community.		
		ination of conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonably		
— as	ssure the defendant's appearance and/or safety of another per The nature of the charges	erson or the community. The lack of stable employment		
L	The apparent strength of the government's case	The lack of a suitable custodian		
L F	The indication of substance abuse	The fact that the charges arose while on state probation		
L	The defendant's criminal history	The history of probation revocations		
	Other:			
L	Other.			
	Part III—Directions R	egarding Detention		
pending order of	rrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from g appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable	mey General or a designated representative for confinement in persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility ourt appearance.		
Date: .	June 10, 2016	Robert T Numbers II. Judge's signature		
		Dobort T. Numbers, H. United States Manietrate Judge		

Robert T. Numbers, II United States Magistrate Judge

Printed name and title